# 11 DECEMBER 194'

INDEX

Of

#### WITNESSES

Defense * Witnesses	Page
SHIRATORI, Toshio (resumed)	35062
Direct by Mr. OKAMOTO	35062
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Sandusky	35064
MORNING RECESS	35079
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Sandusky	35080
NOON RECESS	35095
Cross (contid) by Mr. Sandusky	35096
AFTERNOON RECESS	35119
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Sandusky	35121
Redirect by Mr. Caudle	35136

### INDEX

Of

## EXHIBITS

No.	Dof.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidenc
3239		3596	Book entitled "The Age of War" (written in Japan- ese)	35099	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3239-B		3596-A	Excerpt therefrom		35100
3239-C		3596-B	Further excerpt from Exhibit No. 3596		35101
3331		3597	Book entitled "Radio Lectures and Speeches" (written in Japanese)	35126	
3331		3597-A	Excerpt therefrom		35121
3239 <b>-</b> F		3598	Article entitled "World War and the New World" - Establishment of Ne Spheres of Influence over the World		35128
3239-A		3599	Excerpt from "The Age of War" by SHIRATORI, Toshio - Japan's Position as Regards a Southward Advance Arrival of a Heroid Age (p.300)	5	35132

## Thursday, 11 December 1947

7 8

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Mombers sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE SIR WILLIAM F. WEBB, Member from the Commonwealth of Australia, not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE E. STUART MCDOUGALL, Member from the Dominion of Canada, not sitting from 1330 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 2 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

ACTING PRESIDENT: All the accused are present 4 except MATSUI, who is represented by counsel. "e 5 have a certificate from the prison surgeon of Sugamo 6 certifying that he is ill and unable to attend the 7 trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

"ith the Tribunal's permission the accused 10 TOGO w'll be absent from the courtroom the whole of the morning session conferring with his counsel.

On line 7, page 34,993 of yesterday's trancript it states as follows:

"Acting President: That proves the defendant's claim that he published it, doesn't it?" The word "defendant's" should read "prosecution's."

17 MR. T. OKAMOTO: I had intended to put two 18 or three questions to Mr. SHIRATORI in behalf of the 19 defendant MINAMI, but in view of the fact that the 20 proceedings yesterday took place so speedily, I was unable to do so. I have obtained the consent and agreement of the prosecutor to put a few questions 24 to Mr. SHIRATORI at this time. I would like to do it 25 very briefly. I should like to ask the Tribunal's permission.

11

12

14

15

ACTING PRESIDENT: Is that on direct or cross-examination?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Direct.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You may proceed.

TOSHIO SHIRATORI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. T. OKAMOTO:

Q Just prigr to and following the Manchurian Incident did the Foreign Office receive various reports from its representatives in Manchuria and China -- consuls in Manchuria and China?

Yes, we did.

Mas such information which was received transmitted to other agencies -- for instance, the Army War !'inistry or the Ceneral Staff?

A This wasn't the work of the Information Bureau. However, on orders from the Vice-Minister it had been the long-established custom of the Foreign Office that the telegraphic section should send telegrams that concerned other ministries to the various government offices and --

O Then, in accordance with such precedent

.

13 14

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

were there any occasions when the Foreign Minister himself directly transmitted such telegrams to the Wer Minister or handed such information to the War Minister?

A It is possible that the Foreign Minister should give information to another minister in cabinet meetings, but as to sending out reports from the Foreign Office, the minister did not indulge in such clerical duties.

Office to transmit all reports received from its representatives abroad to the War Ministry?

A Depending on the problem involved. Some documents would be most emberrassing if they were handed -- if they were sent to other ministries, and so from the -- Correction: -- and so I believe that the number of documents communicated to other ministries was about half of the total number received.

For instance, in the event information which would be critical of the Kwantung army -- would such information be, as a rule, transmitted to the "ar Ministry?

A It is difficult for me to say as a matter of principle how such communications were handled. It all depended on the discretion of the Vice-Minister.

8

9

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

21

22 23

Then, did the Vice-Minister for Foreign
Affairs have the authority to select the messages
which would be transmitted to other ministries?

THE MONITOR: And the Foreign Minister did not directly concern himself with such matters, is that not so?

A Yes, that is so.

Then, under the precedent followed by the Foreign Office in transmitting messages to the War Office, to whom were such messages addressed?

A I don't know for sure, but I think it was the Vice-Minister of War.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Nr. Sandusky.

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal.

CROSS-FYAMINATION

BY MR. SANDUSKY (Continued):

O Mr. SHIRATORI, at the close of the session yesterday we were discussing your position as Chief of Information of the Foreign Office. Is it correct that you yourself wrote or finally approved all Foreign Office statements given to the press?

A The Information Board handled the actual business of releasing the communiques, but as to the contents of the various releases, these were prepared by the other bureaus.

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

25

24

Q In your interviews with the press you were, however, in a position to more or less interpret the announced policy as you were presenting it to the members of the press; is that not right?

A From various related documents and from telegrams coming in from abroad, I possessed all kinds of
information, and by giving explanations to the press,
based on this information which I had, I was able to
interpret and to explain the policy. To that extent, I
did do what you suggested.

Q Then that put you in a position to color or to twist facts in your presentation of them to the press if you desired to achieve a particular effect; is that correct?

A I suppose I could have, if I had wanted to, but as a civil servant I did have a conscience in regard to such matters, and I did not twist matters as you have suggested. I have no recollection of having done so.

Were there not occasions that arose when you were obliged to make your conscience subserve your duties as a civil servant in this respect?

MR. CAUDLE: Mr. President, I feel that it is necessary for me to object to that line of questioning. There is no evidence before this Tribunal that there has been any twisting or camouflaging or anything else on

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

SHIRATORI

the part of this defendant.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You forget that this is 2 cross-examination. Objection overruled.

THE MONITOR: Court Reporter, will you read Mr. Sandusky's last question, please?

(Whereupon, the official court reporter read as fellows: "Were there not occasions that arose when you were obliged to make your conscience subserve your duties as a civil servant in this respect?")

A I don't recall any such occasions. BY MR. SANDUSKY:

O Mr. SHIRATORI, do you recall, and is it not a fact, that in an interrogation in English in Sugamo Prison on 27 March 1946, you were asked the following questions and gave the following answers?

"Q I'will ask you if you were not, from 1931 en up until the end of the war, considered a friend of the military clique that was aggressive in Manchuria and in China?

"A I am not a friend of theirs, but I am a Japanese. Being Japanese, I had to side with them; not side with them, but try to cast as plausible and as bright a surface as possible on the things they had done.

"Q What you were trying to do, then, was to sort

10

1

5

7

8

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2 3

4

6

8

9

11

13

15

16 17

18

20

21

22

24 25 of cover up and pacify the public and make them pleased with what the military clique had done; is that right?"

Were you asked those questions and did you make those answers?

A I recall that there were questions and answers along that line.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You never read the answer to the second question that you read.

O Were your responses to the questions asked the same as I have indicated in my reading?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You have missed the point.

MR. SANDUSKY: Oh, I.beg vour pardon, Mr. President. I understand I did not read the answer to the last question?

ACTING PRESIDENT: That is right.

MR. SANDUSKY: The answer to the last question was, "Not so much the inside public but the outside world."

Now, I repeat my former question: Were you asked those questions and did you make those responses?

A I have forgotten whether those were the exact words used, but I do remember that there were questions and answers along that line.

Q With respect to the official Foreign Office statements that we're released from your office, was it

necessary to obtain the personal approval of the Foreign Minister?

A All official statements of the Foreign Office are made not with the approval -- not alone with the approval of the Foreign Minister, but are made on his orders -- on his instructions.

Q Your position necessarily required that you keep extremely well informed on policy matters in the Foreign Ministry; is that not correct?

A On individual problems the director of the bureau concerned would naturally know more than the information bureau, but since we in the information bureau read all documents and communications relating to all bureaus, the range of our information as a whole was far wider than that of any other bureau director — the range of the knowledge of the information chief.

o This position also required practically daily contact with the Foreign Minister; is that correct?

A Yes, that is so.

35,069

Reichers & Yelden

Q Now, whether by reason of this position or otherwise, you also maintained close contact with Prince KONOYE, Marquis KIDO and others who shaped government policy during this period, did you not?

A At the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident I did meet Prince KONOXE and Marquis KIDO two or three times, but at the time these men were not shaping government policy -- or deciding.

Q I don't believe that is quite responsive to my question, Mr. SHIRATORI. My question was whether, during the period when you were in the Information Bureau of the Foreign Office, you did not maintain frequent contact with the men whom I mentioned.

A Not frequently. I said two or three times.

Q At such meetings you discussed the whole range of internal and foreign politics, did you not?

A At the time I had no interest in regard to domestic politics nor did I have much information concerning them, and so our conversation hardly ever touched that topic.

O This may refresh your recollection on that point, Mr. SHIRATORI. On or about the 4th of April 1932 were you not invited to dine and to discuss with Baron HARADA and Prince KONOYE as to whether HIRANUMA or SAITO should be made the next premier?

18,

A I have no recollection of that which you say.

Q You state in your affidavit that, "Although correspondents chose to refer to me as the 'spokesman' of the Foreign Office, my main business was nothing more than handing out news items to them from day to day." By that are you intending to have this Tribunal believe that at that time you were no more than a press copy boy for the Foreign Minister?

A I had no intention of representing myself in such an inferior light but in foreign countries very often, for instance, if we take the United States, the President or the Socretary of State is often referred to as a spokesman. In Japan the Chief of the Information Board was referred to only in foreign newspapers as a spokesman. He was never so referred to in Japanese newspapers -- in Japan.

Q You do not suggest that the press grossly exaggerated your importance in this position then?

A It may be that since the foreign correspondents in Japan had few opportunities to see any government official other than the Chief of the Information Board, my position may have been thought of by them as more important than it actually was.

THE MONITOR: But in their eyes the position
of the Chief of the Information Section may have seemed

LI

rather important, but what I wanted to say, that the position was not as important as they had supposed.

Now, at this time, Mr. SHIRATORI, when the world judged foreign policy by the progress of the Manchurian Incident, it was necessary for you to keep close contact with the military authorities; is that not right?

A We possessed quite a considerable amount of information regarding army activities through telegrams which came in and, therefore, there was no especial necessity for me to associate with army officers directly.

Q You mean you maintained no direct liaison with army circles on official matters?

A Liaison with the army was carried on in the case of, for instance, the Manchurian Incident by the Chief of the Asiatic Affairs Bureau -- Manchurian Incident or Manchurian problem. I received information from the Chief of the competent bureau and when I thought it necessary I gave such information to the press.

Mr. SHIRATORI, in an interrogation in English in Sugamo Prison, March 28, 1946, were you not asked the following questions, to which you gave the following answers:

"Q Right after the Mukden Incident, the Manchurian Incident, whatever you want to call it, I believe at that time, by your speaking and your writing, you gained quite some favor with the army, did you not?

"A I was in favor of the army.

"Q Yes, on account of your ability to write and to speak well, by being outspoken, as you say?

"A As I explained to you, sir, my connection with the army began when Baron YOSHIZAWA said he could not do anything with the head of the army. The Foreign Minister wanted us younger men to go to the younger men in the army and ask their real intention and so report to him, you know. It started in that way and YOSHIZAWA saw that it was quite impossible for him to insist upon his idea, his own policy, quite apart from the army. He knew that he had to compromise to some extent with the army's insistence or policies. I was made to go with the other young officers in the Foreign Office to become the instrument of that liaison with the army. There began my connection with the army people and people, for instance, the papers and all those things, you know, saying that the Foreign Office -- saying they acquiesced in the policy enacted by the Foreign Office, while it is not the case.

11

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

since, my name became known to the people generally as pro-military.

"Q You were sent by the Foreign Office to talk with these younger officers?

"A The young officers in the War Ministry came
to the Foreign Office in the beginning of the Manchurian
affair almost every other day. They came to the Foreign Office, to the office of the Director of the
Asiatic Affairs. Mr. TANI is his name. TANI was Chief
of the Asiatic Bureau. I was head of the Information
Bureau. They came to TANI's bureau almost every other
day for conferences and I attended those conferences
pretty often.

"Q What did you discuss there? Was it discussed what the army intended to do about the expansion in Manchuria and later in China?

"A No, those things, what the army intended, they didn't let us know very well; but they wanted to know our idea, how to fight the matter at Geneva in the League of Nations, how to answer America and those things. On matters especially concerned with foreign countries and diplomacy or legal aspects, they consulted us."

I will ask you if you were asked those questions and if you made those replies?

since, my name became known to the people generally as pro-military.

"Q You were sent by the Foreign Office to talk with these younger officers?

"A The young officers in the War Ministry came
to the Foreign Office in the beginning of the Manchurian
affair almost every other day. They came to the Foreign Office, to the office of the Director of the
Asiatic Affairs. Mr. TANI is his name. TANI was Chief
of the Asiatic Bureau. I was head of the Information
Bureau. They came to TANI's bureau almost every other
day for conferences and I attended those conferences
pretty often.

"Q What did you discuss there? Was it discussed what the army intended to do about the expansion in Manchuria and later in China?

"A No, those things, what the army intended, they didn't let us know very well; but they wanted to know our idea, how to fight the matter at Geneva in the League of Nations, how to answer America and those things. On matters especially concerned with foreign countries and diplomacy or legal aspects, they consulted us."

I will ask you if you were asked those questions and if you made those replies?

3 4

A What you have just read to me is rather involved, probably due to the fact that I did not use the -- because the English I used at the time was not good enough. For instance, you have used the word -- you have read to me the word "Baron YOSHIZAWA." I think at that time I meant Baron SHIDEHARA.

May I accept that as an affirmation to my last question, with that correction?

A That one passage relating to Baron YOSHIZAWA, that part of the interrogation which you read to me seems to be quite incorrect. I might have been able to correct it at the time, but at this late date I do not know what the correct procedure is.

THE MONITOR: At this late date I do not know what I exactly said, and it would be difficult for me to correct it but just listening to what you have read gives me the impression that the contents are very vague and incoherent.

Q Can you suggest any specific correction other than the substitution of the name SHIDEHARA for the name YOSHIZAWA where it appears in those questions and answers?

A My feeling was that what you read to me didn't make-any sense at all. Were you able to get the meaning?

35,075

MR. SANDUSKY: I think we can pass for the moment what meaning I drew from the interrogation, Mr. SHIRATORI.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Could we not have had those quotations translated ahead of time? You are wasting a lot of time here in court which the Court does not appreciate.

MR. SANDUSKY: I do apologize to the Tribunal for this extensive relay. The material came to my attention -- or was impressed upon my attention -- at a late date and I had hoped that the witness would recall this very important relationship with the army and obviate the necessity of this cumbersome relay.

MR. CAUDLE: Mr. President.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle.

MR. CAUDLE: It seems that the witness is confused as to what Mr. Sandusky is getting at. The question he read, which is the second question on page 5, with reference to the Foreign Ministry -- acquiescing with the Foreign Minister -- The question reads on his document here--

ACTING PRESIDENT: Your remarks at this time are improper. If you want to take it up on redirect you may do so.

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

W h a 1 l e 2 n 3 & 4 M 5 o 6 s 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, you do remember an interrogation along those lines, do you not?

at the time that people said that I was pro-militarist and pointed out the facts of the situation, and I did make an explanation in reply regarding my connections with the military -- my relationships with the military rather.

Q In your affidavit you refer to the birth of the new state of Manchukuo with its Utopian program.

Did you consider at that time the program in Manchuria Utopian?

A In the early stages of the Manchurian Incident that was the case. The various views held concerning Manchukuo were most idealistic. I was talking about the time when various slogans such as "The Five Races living together in concord;" "Land of peace and tranquility;" "Land of safety and harmony," and so forth, which would tend to appeal to young people were being propagated.

THE INTERPRETER: Appeal to young people with an idealistic nature.

THE MONITOR: To that period I referred.

Q Mr. SHIKATORI, my direct question was Lid you personally think the program was Utopian and did

2

23

35,077

you approve it?

A I agreed with it in part and I approved of it in part.

Q You state that the press did not respond to the appeal of the Information Bureau for mobilization of opinion for peaceful adjustment. What was the nature of your appeal?

A This appeal was an appeal to cooperate with the policy of the Foreign Office.

Q Did you institute a policy to keep statements to the press free from inflammatory tone?

A The Japanese newspapers were not as obedient as was generally thought abroad, and they disliked extremely to have any outside interference in their policies. And, therefore, I never once made any request that the newspapers carry such and such an article.

Q Did you issue any releases from the Foreign Office at all critical of the Manchurian Incident?

A Among the telegrams received by the Foreign Office there were some which it would not be to our advantage to publish abroad. But it is my belief that my policy was to tell both the good and the bad, and to furnish information freely even to the extent of furnishing some information which might---

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

to

11 12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

THE INTERPRETER: It was my policy to give news both good and bad, favorable and unfavorable, to both the domestic and foreign press as well and there were times that I did so to such an extent that I was reprimanded for it.

Did not Ambassador Grew frequently protest your distortion of news in press interviews which led to inflamatory articles in the press?

I don'trecall whether Ambassador Grew protested directly or not but I do remember that any time a news article unfavorable to the United States appeared in the Japanese press the American Embassy thought that these crticles were inspired by the Information Bureau. That is because their realization of the actual resition of the Japanese press was insufficient, as I have already told you, and they did not sufficiently realize how independent the newspapers actually were.

The press did not print information in addition to that which you gave them at press interviews, did they? By that I mean did they add anything to the information you gave them in press interviews?

I should like you to consider the point that even if no new facts were added to what I gave them, depending on the way they were handled, an entirely different construction could be given on the same press

SHIRATORI

7 8

to 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

release.

2

1

7

9

to

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Because you took action somewhat independently of your superiors, isn't it true that Boron SHIDEHARA'

wanted to remove you from the Information post?

I admit that as a government official I may have been rather indiscrete and Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA may have thought so too, but I never thought that Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA was so dissatisfied with me that he would have wanted to remove me.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

D
u
đ
8.
3
S
p
r
a
t
t

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Sandusky.

BY MR. SANDUSKY (Continued):

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, is it not a fact that you also opposed the policy of Baron SHIDEHARA's successor, Foreign Minister YOSHIZAWA?

A I have no remembrance of having done so.

Q I will refresh your recollection, and I will ask you if you did not on or about 21 February 1932 urge Premier KONOYE to dismiss YOSHIZAWA as Foreign Minister and appoint in his place Vice-Foreign Minister NAGAI.

I wish to correct that. Prince KONOYE was not Premier at the time. The question would be: Did you ask Prince KONOYE to influence the Premier to dismiss YOSHIZAWA?

A You must be under some misapprehension. The Premier at the time was INUKAI, and YOSHIZAWA was his son-in-law. It is hardly possible that one would urge INUKAI to dismiss his own son-in-law.

THE MONITOR: Prince KONOYE at that time was not in a position to exert such influence; neither did he have much political influence.

Q I take that to deny your recollection in

this matter?

A Even apart from what you have said, I never felt any special dissatisfaction toward Foreign Minister YOSHIZAWA, and so I never did anything in the nature of what you suggested. I don't think I did.

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, may I suggest that you confine your response to the direct question and make it as brief as possible in the interest of saving time.

Is it not a fact that by May of 1942 you were widely known as an advocate of Japan's withdrawal from the League of Nations?

A I think you mean 1932, but there is no such fact. That is contrary to the fact.

Q Does that mean that you did not advocate the withdrawal of Japan from the League of Nations?

A If you want me to explain I shall do so, but as to whether or not I advocated such a withdrawal I did not advocate it.

Q I think an explanation will be unnecessary,
Mr. SHIRATORI. I will suggest to you that in May of
1932 you stated your position in the matter to Baron
HARADA substantially as follows: "Japan is unable to
stay in the League of Nations after its actions in
Manchuria since September 18. Japan wants the understanding of the big powers, but it is not necessary for

3

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

this to remain in the League. It is unreasonable for the small nations of Europe to attempt to restrain Japan. Japan can take an independent stand if she negotiates directly with England, France, and the United States."

I think you can answer yes or no as to whether you ever submitted those views to Baron HARADA?

A I never stated such views.

Q Is it not true that in October 1932 you were appointed a member of a committee entitled, "Committee for Drafting the Protest to the Lytton Report"?

A No such committee was ever created for such a specific purpose, but I did take part in drafting the Japanese reply to the Lytton Report.

Q Do you deny that there was a committee made up of Vice-Minister ARITA, Chief of Asiatic Bureau TANI, Chief of First Section of Asiatic Affairs Bureau MORISHIMA, and yourself?

A The people you have named did take part in the drafting of the reply, but they did not set up a special committee such as you have named.

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

**2**6

2

3

was it not?

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

23 24

25

A Yes.
Q In September, 1932, did you consider War
MMinister, General ARAKI, as a fellow faithful votary

The protest to the Lytton Committee was a

detailed justification of Japanese action in Manchuria,

of the SHIDEHARA Siplomacy of conciliation?

A When I referred in my affidavit to myself being a votary of the SHIDEHARA policy, I was speaking of myself as an official of the Foreign Office on a matter which concerned merely the Foreign Office; and I had no intention of including high government officials and other statesmen and the like. And as to whether General ARAKI was a devotee of this policy, in general outlines I believe he did agree with that policy, but I could hardly say that he agreed with it in all respects.

Q At this time, September, 1932, did you not think highly enough of War Minister ITAGAKI to make the suggestion to Baron HARADA that he be made Premier?

Correction: The reference was to ARAKI, rather than to ITAGAKI.

A No, I dia not.

Q If I may refresh your recollection: Did you not argue for his appointment on these grounds, that

.

0

the Japanese exchange rate was dropping because Japan did not have a strong government; therefore, the best policy would be to have ARAKI, as a representative of the powerful militarists, become Premier and proceed on an unwavering program for five or six years?

A Not only did I never express such views to others, but I, myself, never even harbored such thoughts.

Q What were the circumstances surrounding your release from the post as Chief of Information?

A I considered it a routine promotion.

Q Were you told that you were changed at the request of various ambassadors and ministers?

A No, I was never told of that.

Q Is it not true that you attempted to bargain with Foreign Minister UCHIDA concerning your transfer?

A No, I never did.

Q Did you not say that you would accept a post as minister abroad if Vice-Foreign Minister ARITA were also to be sent out of the Foreign Office?

A At the time, rumors were circulating that I had had a quarrel with ARITA, but those rumors were contrary to the facts.

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: At that time there may have been rumors that ARITA and I had quarreled, but this was absolutely not true.

24

S

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

When ARITA refused to go to England and resigned instead, did you not consent to go abroad as minister provided the policy of the Foreign Office not be changed?

It is out of the question for bureau chiefs A or ministers to make such unwarranted demands, and I have never done such a thing.

Is it true that you became minister to the four Scandinavian countries within a month or two of Hitler's ascendancy to domination in the German Reich?

I forget whon it was that Hitler seized power. In any event, it was in June of 1933 that I became minister to the Scandinavian countries.

Q During your assignment to Scandinavia, you mot with OSHIMA ir Borlin a number of times while negotiations for the tota dominters Pact were under way, is that not right

During my three years' stav in Scandinavia, I went to Berlin four or five times altogether. I don't recall, however, whether it was specially during the period when the Anti-Comintern Pact was being negotiated.

The fact of the matter, Mr. SHIRATORI, is that you discussed matters relating to the Anti-Comintern Pact with OSHINA, did you not?

In those days the only times I met OSHIMA was

\_\_\_\_\_

at banquets given by the ambassador, and I have no recollection of having discussed such problems with him, OSHIMA, who was military attache at that time.

- Q During these visits, aid you ever meet Hitler?
- A No.

4

5

6

14

15

17

- Q Did you ever meet Ribbentrop?
- A No.
- g Isn't it true that you were widely credited in Japan as being one of the framers of the Anti-
- A I don't think that it has been reported that
  I was connected with the conclusion of the AntiComintern Pact.
  - Q From your contacts in the Information Bureau, were you acquainted with an American journalist here in Tokyo by the name of Wilfrid Fleisher?
    - A He was one of the correspondents I knew best.
- Do you recall, and is it not a fact, that

  19 after your return from Sweden, you discussed the Anti20 Comintern Pact with him?
  - A I have even forgotten whether Mr. Fleisher was in Tokyo at the time I returned from Sweden, so, naturally, I have also forgotten whether I discussed such matters with him.

24

21

35,087

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q Perhaps I can refresh your recollection,
Mr. SHIRATORI. I will ask you whether he did not ask
you what part you played in the negotiation. You
referred to a legend current in Japan about soldiers
in the second line of battle who were used to fire
upon the front line when they were tempted to retreat,
and then you said your role was as a "second line
soldier." Does that refresh your recollection?

A That statement took me quite by surprise.

I never said such a thimg. It is unbelievable.

Q You state in paragraph 4 of your affidavit that you knew no more about the China War than an ordinary reader of newspapers. Do you mean by that portion of your affidavit that you had nothing whatever to do with the China Incident?

A Yes, that is just what I meant.

Were accorded the decoration of the Double Rays of the Rising Sun for your services in the China Affair?

A I think I did.

For what services was this decoration conferred upon you?

A All those who were in government service at that time received decorations, and since I was Ambassador from 1938 to 1939 and from then on until

15

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

1941 was Adviser to the Foreign Office, I received a decoration too. I think that was what I received my award for.

CROSS

Q Were you in favor of the so-called China Affair or against it?

A I wanted the China Incident to be settled as quickly as possible, and as to whether I was for it or against it, since the Incident had already began, I don't think I can appropriately use either expression.

Q Did you ever do anything in a positive way to object to continued military action in China?

A Do you mean after the outbreak of the China Incident?

Q Yes.

A Actually this Incident was a war, and since it was a war, as Japanese, we could not openly go -- we could not openly oppose it, but what we could do was to hope for its quick settlement and to work for that end, and finally that would mean an end of military actions.

& So, that is the way you hoped, is that right?

A Yes.

Q On page 3 of your affidavit, Mr. SHIRATORI, you state you were reluctant to go to Italy as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

24

23

5

2

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2.5

Ambassador and at first declined to do so. In view of the relations, increased relations between Japan and Italy, this was a very definite promotion over your former position in Sweden, was it not?

It wasn't an extraordinary promotion; it was a natural promotion.

4 In refusing this promotion, which was the more compelling reason, the fact that Italy was so far away or the fact that Japan had so little connection with Italy?

My reason was that I wanted to remain in Japan and work for the settlement of the China Incident at home.

The connections between Italy and Japan had, however, become quite close, had they not?

Italy had joined the Anti-Comintern Pact, but as far as the feelings of the Japanese people went, they still considered Italy quite a remote country, unrelated to them.

When Italy, in December, 1937, followed the example of Germany and Japan by withdrawing from the League of Nations, did not that cement the bond a little more closely?

My own belief is that that would not necessarily follow.

Q Isn't it true that in March, 1938, Italy sent a goodwill mission to Japan?

A Yes, I think they did.

& And, three months later, in May, an Italian economic mission arrived in Tokyo, is that right?

A Yes, I think it did come here.

When were told of a possibility of a treaty strengthening the Berlin-Rome-Tokyo Alliance, isn't that true?

A I accepted because I was able to see that Italy could contribute to the most pressing problem facing our country at that time, namely, the settlement of the China Incident.

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter read.)

THE INTERPRETER: "That was because I was able to see that even from Italy I would be able to contribute to the solution of the China Affair, which was the most pressing problem of the time."

Q I will take that as an answer "yes" to my question that you did go to Italy because you learned of the possibility of negotiating an alliance with

.16 

35,091

Italy and Germany.

A Yes, you may take it that way.

· ro

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

21

22

24

23

Q Is it not further a fact that when the possibility of concluding such a treaty was defeated for the time being by the Russo-German Pact, you immediately requested your recall to Tokyo?

I would suggest this question may be answered without offering an explanation of your reasons.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness answered "As you say."

MR. SANDUSKY: I am sorry; I did not hear the witness' answer.

THE INTERPRETER: "Yes, as your said."

Q It is correct, is it not, that immediately upon your return to Tokyo in October, 1939, you issued a statement in favor of the old alliance policy even though the negotiations had fallen through?

A I didn't take any formal action such as issuing a statement, but I did talk with newspaper reporters to that effect.

Q In this same interview with the press did you not apologize for the German action in concluding a pact with Russia?

A I have no recollection of having apologized for such action.

Q There was current at that time, was there not, a considerable enmity toward Germany for having concluded

the pact in the midst of negotiations with Japan?

There may have been such feeling extant in some quarters.

Returning to your appointment as Ambassador to Italy, you stated on page 4 of your affidavit that you did not discuss the alliance with Eoreign Minister UGAKI or his successor, Foreign Minister ARITA. It is 8 a fact, is it not, that Mr. ARITA did not succeed to o the Foreign Ministry immediately after Mr. UGAKI, but that Prince KONOYE, who was then: Premier, held the 11 portfolio for a month before Mr. ARITA took office?

In form, yes; but what it actually amounts to 13 would be that for one month after General UGAKI's 14 resignation there was no Foreign Minister.

But you had discussed the alliance with Premier 16 Prince KONOYE, had you not?

When I asked his advice on the occasion of 18 assuming the Ambassadorship I did talk on this problem. But I never talked about it other than this one occasion.

Is it not a fact that the reason for your not discussing the alliance with either Mr. UGAKI or Mr. ARITA was that you knew their views on an alliance with Germany and Italy did not coincide with yours?

I didn't consider their views to be different from mine.

21

20

12

15

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

Q You further state in your affidavit that the high officials of the department, that is, the Foreign Ministry, were left entirely in the dark about negotiations. Left in the dark by whom?

CROSS

I suppose ry English was insufficient. I should have said they were in the dark and left out the "left."

Well, who kept them in the dark as to any negotiations that had been proceeding up to that time?

As the problem was still not officially taken up by the Government, related documents did not come to the Foreign Minister -- Foreign Office -- and the Foreign Minister did not tell the Vice-Minister and the subordinates about it.

Q Who had the related documents?

Well, I don't think there were too many of these, but such as there were, were, I believe, in the hands of Premier Prince KONOYE.

Did he get them directly from Germany?

Military Attache OSHIMA had been submitting reports to the Army. I believe what were in Prince KONOYE's possession were copies of these reports.

In other words, the initial negotiations began in military channels, is that right?

Rather than negotiations I think the word -- it

5

7

10

11

13

14

15

would be more proper to say that the original proposal came from those circles.

Q When did you first become acquainted with Eugen Ott?

A I believe it was at a luncheon sponsored by the Italian Embassy prior to my departure for Rome.

Q Did you have any political discussions with him at that luncheon or at any other time prior to your departure for Rome?

A My recollection of Ambassador Ott prior to my departure for Rome is very faint, and I do not recall having had any special conversations with him.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

16

18

19

20

22

23

Knapp & Kapleau

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 1

16

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Sandusky.

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal.

Vill the court reporter please read the answer to the last question before the noon recess?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You had better read the question too.

("Thereupon, the last question and answer were read by the official court reporter.)

TOSHIO SHIRATORI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SANDUSKY (Continued):

O Mr. SHIRATORI, is it not a fact and do you not recall that General Ott used your good offices to influence Premier KONOYE to come out with a more open expression of solidarity with Germany in the Furopean crisis over the Czechoslovakian situation?

A That is not true. There is no such fact.

,

17

19

20

23

24

22

o Is it not a fact that Premier KONOYE did on the 1st of October, 1938, actually send a telegram to Hitler on the splendid success of his policy, that is, the dismemberment of Czechoslovakia?

- A I have never heard of that.
- O vou state on page 3 of your affidavit that you first heard of negotiation for strengthening the Anti-Comintern Pact from Premier KONOYE in August, 1938. Had you not been advocating for some time previous to this a closer alignment with the Axis?
  - A I don't think there was any such a thing.
- On or about January 4, 1937, in discussing the European situation with Baron HARADA did you not state that you wanted an alliance with Germany in order to check Pussia?

A I have no recollection of ever having said such a thing to Baron HARADA, but as I have stated in my letter to Mr. ARITA, I stated that there was no special necessity of reaching any understanding, because Japan and Germany were in the same position.

The stern attitude of England and America toward the Japanese advance in China led you, later in 1937, to conclude that the Anglo-Saxon democracies were the main obstacle to the realization of Japan's continental policy, is that not right?

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

25

A To the exact contrary. It was my contention that in order -- if Japan's continental policy was to be pressed through to success and to be properly understood, cooperation of the United States and Britain was necessary -- essential.

Old you not anticipate as early as March 1938 in an article in Contemporary Japan that Germany, Italy, and Japan would enlarge the scope of the Anti-Comintern Pact so that it would be directed also against the democracies if they continued to obstruct the activities of the "Have-Not" nations?

A I have absolutely no recollection now of ever having written an article in the March 1938 issue of Contemporary Japan.

MR. SANDUSKY: May the witness be handed IPS document 3239?

(Thereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

O Is this book a collection of speeches and articles by you entitled "The Age of War"?

A Yes.

When was it published?

A The collection of my writings and the publication of a collection thereof was handled by the witness who appeared for me yesterday, Mr. MISHIMA,

in April.

Yasuo, and when he requested me for my approval I gave it to him. But I think that this book actually came off the press when I was sick -- if I am not mistaken, sometime in the spring of 1941, probably

O Please turn to page 254. Do you see there an article entitled "Fascism versus the Popular Front"?

A Yes, there is.

And on page 268 do you notice that this article is a reprint from the March 1938 issue of Contemporary Japan?

A Yes. According to the Japanese era, 2,598; would that be it?

Q Yes.

MR. SANDUSKY: I offer IPS document 3239 for identification and document No. 3239-B, an excerpt therefrom, in evidence.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The first document will be admitted for identification only. The second document will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
No. 3239, being a book written in Japan, entitled
"The Age of War," will receive exhibit No. 3596 for
identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, being
prosecution document No. 3239-B, will receive exhibit

1 2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No. 3596-A.

(Thereupon, prosecution document No. 3239 was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3596 for identification, and the excerpt therefrom, prosecution document No. 3239-B, was marked prosecution exhibit 3596-A and received in evidence.)

- 8

-6

Mr. SHIRATORI, before you went to Rome, in fact as early as October 1937, did you not write an article in which you heralded totalitarianism as the dominant political philosophy of the future?

I have no recollection. I may have written such an article.

MR. SANDUSKY: May the witness be handed exhibit for identification 3596?

(Whereupon, the exhibit was shown to the witness.)

Q Will you please turn to page 277?

Do you see there an article entitled "The Fundamental Fignificance of our Continental Policy," and on page 287 do you notice that this was a reprint from the October 1937 issue of the magazine Kaizo?

A Yes.

MR. SANDUSKY: I now wish to introduce in evidence IPS document 3239-C, an excerpt from exhibit for identification 3596.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 3239-C will receive exhibit No. 3596-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No.

21 22

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23 24

3596-B and received in evidence.)

MR. SANDUSKY: I shall at this time read only an excerpt from exhibit 3596-B; that is, from the last paragraph on page 1 to the end of the second paragraph on page 2, and the first paragraph on page 3:

Manchurian Incident, there arose a new spiritual movement in Japan, which seriously agitated national thought and which led to a series of untoward incidents, a state of affairs one would have least expected to see in Japan in the trying international situation in which she then found herself. This new movement, however, in the final analysis, was closely bound up with Japan's military campaign on the Asiatic continent, which after all was a move animated by a definite ideal and conscious of its objective, instead of being an action for sheer conquest."

I skip then to the next paragraph:

"The fellowers of this movement, as well as those who approve its thesis, are regarded as being inclined to Fascism by the public. Whether they be Fascists or not, the ideas of these men have something in common with the new political philosophy of Germany, in that they believe in totalitarianism and denounce Communism, democracy and the other materialistic

1 2

4 5

ideologies. The salient difference is that this movement in Japan lays special emphasis on the unique national character of this Empire and refuses to follow the ways of Europe and America, reminding us in this respect of the slogan of 'expel the foreigner and honour the Emperor,' which was popular at the time of the Restoration of 1868."

Continuing at the top of page 3:

"In political theory and practice, too,
liberalism and democracy, which are based on individualism, are gradually becoming outworn, while the new trend
of nationalism and racialism seems to be destined to
continue developing. Thus the political philosophy
of tomorrow will in all probability come under the
exclusive sway of totalitarianism. In other words, a
new age is dawning upon the West, and I hardly see any
reason why thinkers in Japan alone should cling to their
outworn clothes of thought. It is certainly not a
matter for congratulation nor does it add any credit to
the Japanese nation that no progress is marked in the
thought of our people to keep pace with our continental
policy which alone goes ahead at full blast."

MR. SANDUFKY: I shall read at this time the excerpts marked 3, 4 and 5:

"Most likely the criticisms directed by democratic countries against totalitarian states originate not so much in political philosophy as in the fact that these latter countries happen to be among the 'havenots' as regards material resources, and consequently they are compelled to adopt a trend towards expansionist policies and destruction of the status quo that beget fear and hatred. Viewed from this standpoint, too, the general condemnation of totalitarian states cannot be regarded as just. The matter should be studied with fairness by the intelligentsia in order to arrive at a correct conclusion. The future peace of mankind does not depend, as President Roosevelt says, on the growth and diffusion of democracy, but rather on how well democracies understand Fascism and how they look upon the policies of the totalitarian states.

"Japan, Germany and Italy, the three greatest totalitarian countries of the world, have concluded an anti-Comintern Pact, the sole aim of which repeatedly has been declared by the governments of the three Powers to be common defense against the Communist International and its schemes of world revolution.

"It is only by chance that the three nations,

9

1

2

3

4

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

poor in natural resources, are obliged to seize every opportunity for legitimate national expansion abread. It is understandable that this should occasion apprehension, not necessarily without reason, on the part of the 'have' nations. But it is extremely difficult to understand that democratic nations, despite the absence of any attack or threat of attack on themselves, should, from a preconceived notion of their own, place a false construction on the intentions of the 'have-not' countries and attempt to check and suppress their activities at every turn. Would not such an attitude only drive these countries beyond the bounds of their original anti-Comintern Pact and compel them to collaborate in self-defense along more general lines?"

Y e 1 1 d 2 e n 3 & 4

L 5 e 6 f 7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

17

16

19

21 22

23 24

25

Q Within six months, Mr. SHIRATORI, did you not go further and in another magazine article argue that totalitarianism was identical with Japan's national polity?

A Those two essays just referred to have now refreshed my memory. In those days, my interpretation of the word "totalitarianism" was quite different from that given ordinarily in the West. I regarded this as being close to our own fundamental character of the Japanese State, and it is a fact that I wrote articles centering around this interpretation of mine.

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, you were not asked to comment on them. If you have comment, I am sure your counsel will afford you ample opportunity later.

Returning to my previous question, do you recall later writing another article in which you identified totalitarianism with Japan's national polity?

- A I have no positive recollection.
- Q To refresh your recollection, I wish to read additional excerpts from exhibit No. 3596-A. Excerpt 1:

"In Japan, also, the tide has turned against that liberalism and democracy which once swept over the nation. The theory of government as advocated by certain politicians which sees in parliament the real centre of power now has been completely rejected and the country is fast reverting to totalitarianism, which has been the fundamental principle of Japan's national life for the past thirty centuries. In the eyes of those who believe in democracy as the highest political ideal, the world today is verily in the age of retrogression.

"A Government is a so-called necessary evil, and itsideal should be a state of anarchy. But according to the philosophy of totalitarianism, the whole state or nation is, to carry further the above simile, one large tree of which individuals constitute the branches and leaves. A branch, or a leaf, has its own functions and has, within the whole, its own significance for emistence. However, it cannot live apart from the whole, and must always willingly sacrifice itself if necessary for the sake of the whole. Such is the political philosophy on which the new state systems of Germany and Italy are based, and such also has been the basic principle of the national system of Japan for milleniums past. The principle is not likely to perish in Germany or Italy, whatever may be the fortunes of individual statesmen. Japan has had no other principle than this ever

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

4

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

the Japanese -- is to the Japanese people a thing eternal and immutable." Now, Mr. SHIRATORI, in view of your belief that Japan, Germany, and Italy shared this ideology in common, did you not, in fact, go to Italy prepared and determined to promote a treaty that would serve as a basis for joint action to achieve your common aims?

I have no recollection of ever having gone to my post in Rome with any such concrete intention or aspiration.

since the beginning of her history, although there

governmental form under the influences of thoughts

principle -- or national policy, as it is called by

imported from abroad. This totalitarian national

have been certain external modifications in the

When you say, at page 4 of your affidavit, that you were not appointed to Rome for the purpose of negotiating an alliance, you do not mean to deny, do you, that your personal reason for going there was to work for a military alliance?

In my affidavit, I state what was the intention of the Japanese Government, that is to say, the intentions of Prime Minister Prince KONOYE at the time, and that also was my idea.

Leaving aside the question of whether such

the mere fact that such negotiations were going on might have the effect of making the United States and Great Britain reconsider their position, reflect upon their policy, and perhaps, by recognition of this development, take a more favorable attitude and perhaps act as mediator to bring to a speedy conclusion the China Affair.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "the mere fact that such negotiations were going on" should be replaced by "the fact of Japan on the one hand and Germany and Italy on the other being brought into close relationship."

Addition after the words "such negotiations":
"directed toward a closer rapprochement between

Japan on the one hand and Germany and Italy on the
other."

Q That is a very devious answer to a direct question, Mr. SHIRATORI. Will you answer whether you went there to get a military alliance, without stating the reason?

A I did not go to Rome with such a purpose.

Q You state on page 7 of your affidavit that the text of the treaty accepted by all nations at an early stage was a very weak and harmless document,

more of a pact of mutual consultation and assistance than a military alliance. Are you intending to convey to this Court that that was the type of agreement you personally wanted?

ď.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle.

MR. CAUDLE: Mr. President, I would like to object to this question. It is rehashing and going over and over the same thing, and we are not getting anywhere.

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, I submit it is highly important that we distinguish what Mr. SHIRATORI wanted as distinguished possibly from what his government wanted. I want to make that distinction very clear.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Some of your questions have been repetitious, but I do not think you have asked this one before.

Objection overruled.

A I did not go to Italy for the purpose of bringing about a special or specific form of treaty. The draft upon which the three governments came to an agreement was the type of a treaty which I myself desired; that is to say, I myself had no intention to advocate any specific form of treaty. My personal desire was that it would be quite satisfactory if a treaty could be concluded along the lines proposed by Japan—already proposed by Japan—to Germany and Italy. While stating on the one hand the desire to conclude a treaty but on the other to advance various

----

11

13

14

16

reservations and express an intention indicating no desire to conclude an agreement, a treaty, was in my estimation at that time a disgrace on the part of Japan.

I refer to your statement on page 4 of your affidavit that modification of the anti-Japanese attitude of England and America was essential for the settlement of the China affair. Did it not come to your attention in June or July of 1938 that Japan 10 was going to make terms with Great Britain on the basis of concessions offered by Great Britain in the Tientsin affair?

- Wasn't that in 1939?
- I believe not.

MR. SANDUSKY: Will the Court indulge me a moment to check it?

(Continuing) Well, without regard to the date, Mr. SHIRATORI, the remainder of the question, I think, remains the same. Did you know that Japan was going to make terms with Great Britain on the basis of the concessions offered by Great Britain in the Tientsin affair, whether in 1938 or 1939?

Yes, I know that, but my recollection is 25 quite certain that this matter was brought up, I think, in 1939 after the negotiations were already under way

between Japan and Germany and Italy.

Q I believe your date is correct.

A At first I felt that the alliance negotiations had as a result thereof borne fruit in that form.

THE MONITOR: "I felt that what I had at first expected as a result of the negotiations for an alliance brought about in part the results that I had expected."

O Does that mean that you opposed or that you encouraged Foreign Minister ARITA to pursue this possibility for peaceful negotiation? I think that may be answered briefly.

rapprochement between Japan and the United States and Britain, and it was my task to bring about -- to facilitate -- an alliance between Japan on the one hand and Germany and Italy on the other hand, as an ambassador to Italy. Although on the surface it appeared that the two were moving in opposite directions, actually speaking, I believe that the work that I was doing was facilitating and furthering Mr. ARITA's work of bringing about a rapprochement with the United States and Britain; and to that end I not only gave of my efforts but I prayed for his success.

Q As a matter of fact, Mr. SHIRATORI, in early July 1939, did you not send two cables to your government relating to this matter?

A I have no recollection that I ever sent any telegrams in connection with this matter.

Q To refresh your recollection, these telegrams, I suggest, were sent to the Foreign Minister when word came to you of the anti-British feeling that had been engendered by the Tientsin Incident. Does that help you?

A I think that such information was received but -- I am quite sure that such information was received but I have no recollection of having sent a telegram in connection therewith.

M r å h

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

May I refresh your recollection further and ask whether those cables or telegrams or notices to your government, official documents, state in substance the following, and I quote --

THE MONITOR: Mr. Prosecutor, I wonder if you can give us a copy of that if you are going to quote? MR. SANDUSKY: Language Division, it is two very short sentences.

THE MONITOR: All right.

(Continuing) The quote is from exhibit 2234 at page 20 of the exhibit: "In order to establish a new order in China today we must drive out from China the old order which is represented by Britain."

THE MONITOR: Hold it a moment, please, until re get the document. It is too long for us.

We can't find the document.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Sandusky.

- (Continuing) "Therefore, I believe that Japan should conclude a treaty as soon as possible with Germany and Italy in opposition to Britain and France."
- I have no recollection whatsoever of having sent such a telegram.
- Is it not true that the main purpose of an alliance with Germany and Italy was to intimidate the United States from making any movement either in Truope

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

....

or in the Far East?

A I have never ever thought of such a thing and there is no one in my recollection who ever said such a thing.

Q You state that you disagreed with your government with regard to the secondary matter of reservations
to be attached to the text of the treaty. What were
those reservations and what were the disagreements
between you and your government with respect to them?
Briefly, if you will, please.

A I think that the reservations were as follows: first, that in the event an attack was made by Britain and France, Japan would not be able to give any substantial or sufficient aid; and, second, that in concluding the treaty Japan would like to explain to Britain and France that the pact was aimed primarily -- was aimed only at the U.S.S.R. And the point of disagreement between Japan on the one hand and Italy and Germany on the other is that Japan to the very last insisted that these reservations be put in black and white whereas Germany and Italy insisted that an oral agreement would be sufficient -- an oral understanding would be sufficient.

Q What was your position, Mr. SHIRATORI? Did you favor the oral or the written reservation?

A

A I considered that an oral understanding was sufficient.

Q You favored the German view, is that right?

A Yes, in regard to that point.

of your affidavit correctly, you felt that there was no danger in a pact with Germany because it could be invoked by Germany only in the case of an aggression against her and you felt that it was extremely unlikely that such aggression would be started by England or France, is that correct?

A Yes.

n.

SHILATOKI

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q I take it you held this belief to the end of the negotiations with Germany, is that correct?

A Yes, exactly.

Q Now, at that time the Danzig issue, over which the European War broke out, had clearly taken shape, had it not?

A Actually the negotiations for the pact ended around the latter part of May or the first part of June, and thereafter there was no negotiations. And, therefore, I do not have any recollection as to when the Danzig issue came to the fore.

Q Did not negotiations continue in some form or were they at least not open until the conclusion of the Russo-German Non-Aggression Pact in August, I believe?

A As I recall that I spent the entire months of June and July at a summer resort, I think not.

Q You were aware of the situation that was developing in the Danzig affair, were you not?

A I read of it in the newspapers.

Q You realized, didn't you, that Germany was the aggressor nation?

A I did not think that to be necessarily so.
Well, if I may be permitted to explain, Great Britain recognized what Germany had done by Prime Minister

9

3

5

.

9

10

12

14

16

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25 Chamberlain's trip to Munich, and even authorities in Europe did not consider German actions to be acts of aggression -- all of Germany's actions -- all German actions to be acts of aggression.

Q But following that and following the outbreak of war in Europe you continued to advocate an alliance with Germany in spite of that situation, is that right?

A Rather than say "advocate" I pointed out that as a result of the conclusion of the German-Soviet Non-Aggression Pact the possibility presented itself by extending any pact between Japan and Germany and Italy to include the Soviet Union elso. That I do recall.

ACTING PRESIDENT: I wonder if you cannot during the recess find some means of shortening and speeding up this cross-examination?

Mr. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, may I suggest it would be helpful if you would assist counsel by directing the witness to respond as specifically to the question as possible. At the same time I will attempt to consolidate questions if at all possible.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess

35,120 SHIKATOKI Choss was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

,

D & S

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Sandusky.

MR. S. NDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal. BY MR. SANDUSKY (Continued):

In your affidavit you refer to exhibit 2232, a telegram by the German Ambassador in Rome dated September .2, 1939. Were you not informed by Mr. Mackensen, the German Ambassador at Rome, on September 4, that is two days later, in response to your request to see Ribbentrop, that Ribbentrop would be willing to see you in Berlin?

My recollection is that the facts are to the contrary, that the order is reversed.

Q Did you not inform Mr. Mackensen on or about 9 September that you had discarded the idea of returning via Siberia and that you would convey through OSHIMA the matters which you had planned to present to Ribbentrop yourself?

There is no such fact.

Isn't it true that you wanted to submit to Ribbentrop that he should make some statement that he would be willing to influence Russia to disassociate herself from China?

There is no such fact.

20

21

24

Q Did you not also ask Ott to obtain that sort of declaration from Ribbentrop for you in your first conference with Ott after your return to Japan on or about 24 October 1939?

A No, I did not.

Q Did you not after your recovery continue your contact with Ott; for example, to advise him on Japanese trends and personalities in the government?

A I may have met Ott after my recovery, but there was no instance of my ever giving him any information.

Q Did you not on or about 18 August 1941 have a conference with Ott in which you advised him on the good points and bad points of Mr. AMAU, who had just been appointed Vice-Foreign Minister?

A No.

17 Q Further on your relations with Ott, did you
18 not together with OSHIMA, about the end of January 1941,
19 tell Ott that you did not think that Japan should ask
20 for Germany's recognition of the Wang Ching-wei Regime
21 at that time, and that you had both so advised MATSUOKA?

A In so far as I am concerned, there was no case, there was no instance of that kind.

Q You state in substance on page 8 in your affidavit that the fall of the YONAI Cabinet was

.

24

22

10

11

12

considered inevitable in view of the altered general situation. Do you mean by that, the situation that had altered by reason of the military successes of Hitler?

A Yes, I do.

Q After your return from Italy did you not oppose the policy of the YONAI-ARITA Cabinet?

A There was no instance of my opposing their policy, but I might have expressed opinions contrary to it.

Q Do you recall expressing an opinion calling for a change of cabinet in substance on or about June 18, 1940, at a meeting of the League for the Sacred War?

A No, I do not remember.

Q To refresh your recollection, at this conference did you state that you entertained absolutely no hope for a reorientation of Japan's foreign policy as long as the cabinet was composed of men who opposed a military alliance of Japan, Germany, and Italy?

A I have no such recollection.

Q I refer to page 13 of your affidavit, where you mention the visit of Ambassador Ott in July 1941, which you say came as a surprise to you. It is not a fact that Ott told you on this occasion that Foreign

-

19 20

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

22

Minister Ribbentrop had cabled him to investigate your actual state of health and to send a special report to him concerning it?

A Today, at this date, I have absolutely no recollection as to what Ott said that day.

MR. CAUDLE: Your Honor, I would like to object to that because in the Ott interrogation he, himself, who made the visit said why. It was a courtesy call and it was only five minutes out of his way on his way to his own villa in the neighborhood.

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, may I suggest that counsel be advised that he will have ample opportunity to argue the evidence in this case and that this is not the proper time to attempt such argument.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled.

express the view in March 1941 that Japan would inevitably, on the basis of the Tripartite Pact, become involved in a European war if that war should continue for some period?

A I have no recollection of ever having said anything in that manner.

Q Do you recall advocating the view that such inevitability is nothing to be upset about if the country is well prepared?

A That was something which the prosecutor read to me from an article in the magazine "Contemporary Japan" in Sugamo prison. I recall that there was such an occasion.

Q Well, on another occasion did you state similar views in a broadcast on Asia Renovation Day on March 1, 1941? Do you recall that?

A The magazine "Contemporary Japan" printed the English translation of the broadcast address, and, as a matter of fact, I learned about this for the first

4

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

time at Sugamo prison.

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, I am not at all sure these are the same addresses.

May the witness be shown IPS document 3331.

Is this not a collection of radio speeches?

Is there not, on page 6 of the April issue, a speech made by you and entitled, "Observations upon the Current Situation at Home and Abroad"?

I am sorry, I don't get any answer.

A I am trying to find the April issue. Yes, there is.

MR. SANDUSKY: I now tender IPS document 3331 for identification, and I offer an excerpt therefrom in evidence.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The first document will be received for identification only. The second document will be received in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
No. 3331, being a book written in Japanese, entitled,
"Radio Lectures and Speeches," will receive exhibit
No. 3597 for identification only; and the excerpt
therefrom, bearing the same prosecution cocument number,
will receive exhibit No. 3597-A.

No. 3331 was marked prosecution exhibit

2

4

5

6

.

8

9

to

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

No. 3597 for identification; and the excerpt therefrom was marked prosecution exhibit

No. 3597-A and received in evidence.)

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I will read a short excerpt, beginning with the bottom paragraph of page 6 of that document.

"Under these circumstances, the European war has every prospect of developing into an extremely protracted wer all over the world. A war lasting for ten, twenty years, is not unthinkable and Japan will inevitably become involved therein. That is clear enough if only from the letter of the Tripartite Pact. Such an eventuality may, from Japan's point of view, be considered an expansion of the China affair into a general world war, or a melting together of the wars in Asia and Europe. Be it what it may, it is clear that Japan will not be allowed to stand aloof from such a world-wide conflagration.

"Such a prospect cannot but be a source of intense apprehension to many people in this country, nor are endeavours wanting, I understand, to curb the progress of events. I am afraid, hewever, that such efforts will after all prove fruitless. History is eloquent in testifying to the truth that all great changes in human thought have resulted from long periods of struggle. No

human power can check the overwhelming tide of a historic inevitability.

"When such a long-term world war does come, our nation should not be uselessly upset or worried, or vainly think of a course running counter to historic necessity. Before everything, we must put right our internal conditions so that we may successfully cope with the impending world cataclysm."

Mr. SHIRATORI, did you not state, in an article entitled, "The World War and the New World," that war with the United States was inevitable?

Is that another article? I have no recollection.

Yes, that is another article that is included Q in exhibit 3596 for identification. I ask that you be shown it.

Do you see, on page 64, an article entitled, "World War and the New World"?

Yes. A

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I offer in evidence IPS document 3239-F, being an excerpt from exhibit 3596 for identification.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

No. 3239-F will receive exhibit No. 3598. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3598 and received in evidence.) 

R e C h

r S

5

8

&

12 13

11

15 16

14

18 19

17

20 21

22

23

24 25

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I will read the second paragraph on page two of that document:

"If America pours her immense manpower and material resources into the war, we must expect the war to certainly cover a long period of time. However, if one takes this to mean the collapse of the culture of mankind, it is because he does not understand the real significance of the present war to start with. What brought about the current turmoil? The stalemated civilization of liberalism is indeed its cause. Is not the present war the attempt to create a new thing by bursting out of an old shell? The New Order of the East and the West certainly has no significance outside of this. Destruction of human lives and materials is unavoidable. This is neither the destruction of civilization nor the collapse of culture. This is nothing but a sacrifice for the sake of the brilliant birth of a new culture. The thoughts of mankind in effecting any great change have always had to undergo long periods of such destructions. It is quite natural that America would eventually become involved in this war in one form or other. Not only that, but might this not actually be necessary for the interest of the world, and also for the interest of America herself.

The reason is because this is the only way that a change in history and a regeneration of the world can become possible."

BY Mr. SANDUSKY (Continued):

Q Again, Mr. SHIRATORI, did you not anticipate the entry of Japan into the war in an article entitled "Japan's position as regards a southward advance," which is a part of your book "The Age of War"?

Would you look at page 299.

(The witness examined the document.)

Q (Continuing) Do you find the article in question, and, if so, what is its date?

A It says the 3rd of February. The year is 2,601, that is, 1941.

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter

read.)

THE INTERPRETER: "February 13th."

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I offer document 3239-A in evidence as an excerpt of exhibit 3596 for identification.

ACTING PRESIDENT: It will be admitted in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No. 3239-A will receive exhibit No. 3599.

8

9

100

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

21 22

20

23

25

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No.
3599 and received in evidence.)

MR. SANDUSKY: (Reading)

with an extremely grave situation both at home and abroad -- generally said to be the greatest national crisis ever since the founding of the Empire, and we cannot deny, of course, that there may be a great danger if we should take a step amiss at this time when the sons of YAMATO are about to take a bold leap.

However, I can by no means agree with the opinion that merely says that this is Japan's time of national crisis and that Japan is now facing a very dangerous time. I believe that Japan is now actually facing the most favorable opportunity and the fittingest time ever since the founding of the country for making a great leap as long as her people hold themselves firm and steady.

"The things which her people fear most are that, after all, the national power has weakened as a result of the continuance of the China Incident for three and a half years, added to which the relations with the Foreign Powers are extremely delicate, and we may next have to wage war against Britain and the United States after the fighting in China, apart from the fact that

2 3

Russia, too, cannot be relied upon. In a word, they think that the shortage of commodities within the country and the great weakening of the national power owing to the war, together with the delicate foreign relations -- these two factors combined make Japan appear to them as being confronted with a grave crisis. Though I think that we ought not to be too optimistic, on considering matters calmly, I have come to the conclusion that we need not necessarily feel apprehensive if we study minutely the conditions of other countries of the world and compare them with Japan."

Will the Tribunal indulge me a moment for consultation.

May it please the Tribunal.

BY MR. SANDUSKY (Continued):

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, in the course of your lectures, your speeches, your writings in various magazines to sell to the people your idea of a New Order, did you not minimize the importance of treaties that stood in the way of realization of the program?

A I have no recollection of ever having said anything which indicated disregard of treaties -- the disregard of the value of treaties.

Q Specifically, as early as March, 1939, did you not say, and I quote from an excerpt of exhibit 3596-A:

.

.

21 22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

23 24

1 2

4

5

7

9

11

12 13

14

17

18

21

23

24

"A treaty, once signed by a 'have-not' nation through temporary weakness or imprudence, is considered sacred and inviolable. Its observance is insisted upon with the inexorableness of a Shylock demanding his pound of flesh."

A I have some recollection of having said something to that effect somewhere.

As a matter of fact, even before that time, had you not decided that a Japanese New Order in East Asia could not be accomplished within the framework of international standards of conduct?

A With regard to that, I do not have any recollection -- concerning it -- of saying that.

Q I will refresh your recollection by quoting exhibit 3596-B; the second and third paragraphs of page 3 of that exhibit.

"We have noticed how unprepared we were spiritually at the time of the Manchurian affair. The six years which have since elapsed have largely been wasted, and when hostilities arose in North China, the Japanese nation, both official and private, had nothing to offer but such old jargon as the right of self-defense or vested interests, and since the conflict spread to Shanghai, 'Punichment of Outrageous China' has been Japan's main watchword. Further, in face of the wonted

criticisms of the Western press, the Government hurriedly decided to send special missions abroad to have Japan's case explained to the Western public.

"It is fundamentally mistaken to try to
explain Japan's continental action in the light of the
Western view of things or within the scope of law and
treaties only. If we are to follow the Western way of
thinking, we have to obey Western rules of conduct and
must plead guilty where Western public opinion unanimous—
ly blames us."

Did you write that?

A Yes, I have a recollection that I wrote it in some magazine.

MR. SANDUSKY: May it please the Tribunal, I have no further cross-examination.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Mr. Caudle.

J-

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. CAUDLE: May it please the Tribunal, may the witness be shown exhibit 3427?

(Whereupon, a document was

handed to the witness.)

PR. CAUDLE: This document was heretofore introduced for identification only, at page o' the record 32,851.

o will you examine that document carefully, please? Is this the reply or so-called protest about which the prosecution questioned you about on cross-examination of the Lytton report?

A Yes.

IR. CAUDLE: I now offer that document in evidence.

Tamiliar with the details of that document. I know the offer in evidence was strongly exposed by the prosecution when it was offered for identification and later for evidence. I find nothing in the fact of my mentioring this document as the product of a committee with which the defendant worked which would offer sufficient basis for its introduction into evidence at this time as a matter arising out of my cross-examination. I further submit, your

- 17

Honor, that a passing reference in my crossexamination to the meneral character of the protest is not sufficient warrant for its admission into evidence in re-direct on behalf of Mr. SHIRATORI.

MR. CAUDLE: Your Honor, may I be heard before a vote is taken?

ACTING PRESIDENT: You may, and I want to ask what is the purpose of offering this document?

MR. CAUDLE: Well he characterized this document as a protest, and further, he went on to show that the witness had a part in the formulation and writing of it. I can see no objection. The document speaks for itself. I do not intend to read it at this time, but I would like to question the witness as to his part relative to same.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Do I understand you withdraw your tender?

MR. CAUDLE: No, sir. I request that it be accepted. I would like to question the itness on the basis -- I mean, after it is in evidence.

ACTING PRESIDENT: The objection is sustained by a majority.

Q Mr. SHIRATORI, when Mr. Sandusky was questioning you about certain interrogations which were made in Sugamo prison, one question, or rather, the answer

3

6

8

10

11 12

13

15

17

18

20

22

24

that was given in the interrogation was sort of confusing.

MR. CAUDLE: Your Honor, if you will permit me, I can more or less quote what was intended. We seem to have some difficulty in finding it and I don't have a copy of that document.

Q (Continuing) This is part of an answer submitted by you in answer to another question which does not seem to have any bearing on this, but I will quote this part of the answer, and I quote:

"There began my connection with the Army
people and people, for instance the papers, and
all of those things, you know, saying that the Foreign
Office, saying that they acquiesced in the policy
enacted by the Foreign Office, while it is not the
case."

Q (Continuing) Could you have meant that the public and the press were insinuating that the Foreign Office acquiesced in the policy of the Army, which was not the case?

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, I suggest that this is a very obvious attempt to suggest to the witness the answer he should give, but, more important-

THE INTERPRETER: Just a moment, please. The witness said, "Yes, I think so."

MR. SAMDUSKY: -- I suggest, respectfully, that we are wasting time to clarify a matter that is within the interrogation, was within the body of the interrogation, which was quoted verbatim and which will speak for itself, whatever it means -- if it can mean anything -- will be evident to the Court. Therefore, your Monor, I object to further questioning along this line.

MR. CAUDLE: May it please your Honor,
Mr. Sandusky brought this in in evidence, and I am
trying to find out who acquiesced with whom.

ACTING PRESIDENT: You certainly can not tell it from that document. It seems to be a matter of small importance anyway.

MR. CAUDLE: All right.

ACTING PRESIDENT: So far as that particular answer is concerned, anyway.

MR. CAUDLE: Yes sir.

I have two more questions, very short questions, I would like to ask, and I will be complete.

Q Were any of your articles or speeches ever sponsored by the government, or carried the government's sanction?

A Never.

5

3

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Please explain to the Tribunal your meaning of the word "totalitarianism", used by you, which was so often referred to by Mr. Sandusky.

MR. SANDUSKY: Mr. President, I used the term "totalitarian" only in reference to documents which I presented, with him as the author, and in which the term is used. As the term as used in the document must be determined from the document itsel?, I object to questioning along this line.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

A 'ell, if I began an explanation it would take a long time, so I will put it briefly: it is a philosophy which may be remarded as opposed to individualism.

ACTING PRESIDENT: Have you any more questions?

MR. CAUDLE: I think Mr. Brooks wants to ask a question. I am through.

ACTING PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 12 December 1947, at 0930.)

24